

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Almond
<b>Given Name</b>	David
<b>Person ID</b>	1285802
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Almond
<b>Given Name</b>	David
<b>Person ID</b>	1285802
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	No mention on the impact of the below existing infrastructure : Electrical Water Gas Wastewater Road Network
<b>Family Name</b>	Almond
<b>Given Name</b>	David
<b>Person ID</b>	1285802
<b>Title</b>	JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Almond
<b>Given Name</b>	David
<b>Person ID</b>	1285802
<b>Title</b>	JPA 9: Walshaw
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Family Name</b>	Almond
<b>Given Name</b>	David
<b>Person ID</b>	1285802
<b>Title</b>	JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>I refer to the Bury proposals only.</p> <p>I don't believe the infrastructure is sufficient to accommodate so many new people. Utilities are barely mentioned in your plans. Why? They are fundamental to your whole concept.</p>